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## 1. Introduction

The determination of GCD of two polynomials arises in several applications.

An example of application: A common problem in linear systems theory is approximate pole-zero cancellation which reduces to the computation of an approximate GCD of two inexact polynomials. In particular, if a rational transfer function is given by

$$
h(x)=\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}
$$

where $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are polynomials, then it may be necessary to cancel out approximately equal factors of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$.

- the determination of almost common factors [ Zarowski, Ma, Fairman]
[Euclid's algorithm is used for exact computation]
the connection
Euclid's algorithm $\overbrace{\leftarrow--\longrightarrow}$ the Sylvester matrix
The polynomials $f=f(x)$ and $g=g(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=a_{0} x^{m}+a_{1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{m-1} x+a_{m} \\
& g(x)=b_{0} x^{n}+b_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+b_{n-1} x+b_{n} \\
& a_{0} \times a_{m} \neq 0, \quad b_{0} \times b_{n} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

It is assumed $m \geq n$.
The Sylvester matrix $S(f, g) \in \mathbb{C}(m+n) \times(m+n)$

The $k$ th Sylvester submatrix $S_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{(m+n-k+1) \times(m+n-2 k+2)}$
[will be used later] is formed by deleting the last $(\boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{1})$ rows,
and the last $(k-1)$ columns of the coefficients of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, of $S(f, g)$.

We need the following notation:
The vector $e_{i}$ denotes the $i$ th column of the identity matrix $\boldsymbol{I}$, and the matrix

$$
E_{i, j}(\sigma)=I-\sigma e_{i} e_{j}^{T}
$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, is an elementary triangular matrix. It is lower and upper triangular for $i \geq j$ and $i \leq j$, respectively.

## 2. Transformation of the Sylvester matrix

Let $f_{0}=f$ and $f_{1}=g$,

$$
f_{i}(x)=q_{i}(x) f_{i+1}(x)+f_{i+2}(x), \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

- deg $f_{i+2}<\operatorname{deg} f_{i+1}$.
- If $f_{k}=0$, then $f_{k-1}=\operatorname{GCD}(f, g)$.

The $\operatorname{GCD}(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g})$ can be obtained by rearrangement of the Sylvester matrix $S(f, g)$.

The special case $m=5$ and $n=2$ is considered.
To transformation $S(f, g)$ into the triangular form;
(1) $\quad S(f, g)=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}a_{0} & & b_{0} & & & & \\ a_{1} & a_{0} & b_{1} & b_{0} & & & \\ a_{2} & a_{1} & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & & \\ a_{3} & a_{2} & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & \\ a_{4} & a_{3} & & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} \\ a_{5} & a_{4} & & & & b_{2} & b_{1} \\ & a_{5} & & & & & b_{2}\end{array}\right]$.

The first step ... the matrix (1) is postmultiplied successively by the matrices $\boldsymbol{E}_{3,1}\left(a_{0} / b_{0}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{E}_{4,2}\left(a_{0} / b_{0}\right)$. This yields the matrix
(2) $\quad S^{(1)}(f, g)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}0 & & b_{0} & & & & \\ a_{1}^{(1)} & 0 & b_{1} & b_{0} & & & \\ a_{2}^{(1)} & a_{1}^{(1)} & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & & \\ a_{3}^{(1)} & a_{2}^{(1)} & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & \\ a_{4}^{(1)} & a_{3}^{(1)} & & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} \\ a_{5}^{(1)} & a_{4}^{(1)} & & & & b_{2} & b_{1} \\ & a_{5}^{(1)} & & & & & b_{2}\end{array}\right]$.
-the corresponding polynomial operation has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{4}(x): & =a_{1}^{(1)} x^{4}+a_{2}^{(1)} x^{3}+a_{3}^{(1)} x^{2}+a_{4}^{(1)} x+a_{5}^{(1)} \\
& =f(x)-g(x)\left(a_{0} / b_{0}\right) x^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The next step: the first nonzero element in the sequence $a_{1}^{(1)}, a_{2}^{(1)}, a_{3}^{(1)}$ is found - say $a_{2}^{(1)} \neq 0$, and the next step is the subtraction of the fifth and sixth columns, multiplied by $a_{2}^{(1)} / b_{0}$ from the first and second columns respectively. We have $a_{1}^{(1)}=0$ and
$h_{4}(x)=h_{3}(x):=a_{2}^{(1)} x^{3}+a_{3}^{(1)} x^{2}+a_{4}^{(1)} x+a_{5}^{(1)}$.
In this case the matrix (2) is postmultiplied successively
by the matrices $E_{5,1}\left(a_{2}^{(1)} / b_{0}\right)$ and $E_{6,2}\left(a_{2}^{(1)} / b_{0}\right)$. This yields the matrix
(3) $\quad S^{(2)}(f, g)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}0 & & b_{0} & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{0} & & & \\ 0 & 0 & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & & \\ a_{3}^{(2)} & 0 & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & \\ a_{4}^{(2)} & a_{3}^{(2)} & & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} \\ a_{5}^{(2)} & a_{4}^{(2)} & & & & b_{2} & b_{1} \\ & a_{5}^{(2)} & & & & & b_{2}\end{array}\right]$.

- the corresponding polynomial operation has the form

$$
h_{2}(x):=a_{3}^{(2)} x^{2}+a_{4}^{(2)} x+a_{5}^{(2)}=h_{3}(x)-g(x)\left(a_{2}^{(1)} / b_{0}\right) x
$$

This process can be continued : the coefficient $a_{3}^{(2)}$ is reduced to zero. We have

$$
S^{(3)}(f, g)=S^{(2)}(f, g) E_{6,1}\left(\frac{a_{3}^{(2)}}{b_{0}}\right) E_{7,2}\left(\frac{a_{3}^{(2)}}{b_{0}}\right)
$$

$h_{1}(x):=a_{4}^{(3)} x+a_{5}^{(3)}=h_{2}(x)-g(x)\left(a_{2}^{(3)} / b_{0}\right)$ The matrix $S^{(3)}(f, g)$ has the form

$$
S^{(3)}(f, g)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & & b_{0} & & & \\
0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{0} & & & \\
0 & 0 & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & & \\
0 & 0 & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & \\
a_{4}^{(3)} & 0 & & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} \\
a_{5}^{(3)} & a_{4}^{(3)} & & & & b_{2} & b_{1} \\
& a_{5}^{(3)} & & & & & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We finaly have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \underbrace{a_{0} x^{5}+a_{1} x^{4}+a_{2} x^{3}+a_{3} x^{2}+a_{4} x+a_{5}=}_{f_{0}(x)=f(x)} \\
& \underbrace{\left(\left(a_{0} / b_{0}\right) x^{3}+\left(a_{2}^{(1)} / b_{0}\right) x+\left(a_{3}^{(2)} / b_{0}\right)\right)}_{q_{0}(x)} \underbrace{\left(b_{0} x^{2}+b_{1} x+b_{2}\right)}_{f_{1}(x)=g(x)} \\
& \underbrace{+\left(a_{4}^{(3)} x+a_{5}^{(3)}\right)}_{f_{2}(x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we summarize all previous transformations. Let us put

$$
T_{1}=E_{3,1}\left(\frac{a_{0}}{b_{0}}\right) E_{4,2}\left(\frac{a_{0}}{b_{0}}\right) E_{4,1}\left(\frac{a_{1}^{(1)}}{b_{0}}\right) E_{5,2}\left(\frac{a_{1}^{(1)}}{b_{0}}\right) E_{5,1}\left(\frac{a_{2}^{(2)}}{b_{0}}\right) E_{6,2}\left(\frac{a_{2}^{(2)}}{b_{0}}\right) E_{6,1}\left(\frac{a_{3}^{(3)}}{b_{0}}\right) E_{7,2}\left(\frac{a_{3}^{(3)}}{b_{0}}\right) P
$$

where $P \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 7}$ is the permutation matrix

$$
P=\left(e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}, e_{7}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that
$S^{(4)}(f, g):=S(f, g) T_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}b_{0} & & & & & & & \\ b_{1} & b_{0} & & & & & & \\ b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & & & & & \\ & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & & & & \\ & & & & & - & & \\ & & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{0} & a_{4}^{(4)} & - \\ & & & b_{2} & \mid c & b_{1} & a_{5}^{(4)} & a_{4}^{(4)} \\ & & & & & b_{2} & & a_{5}^{(4)}\end{array}\right]$
and the transformation $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ corresponds the above polynomial operation which is equivalent to one step of the recursion corresponding to $\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{0}$.

$$
f_{i}(x)=q_{i}(x) f_{i+1}(x)+f_{i+2}(x), \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Moreover, the marked $3 \times 3$ submatrix is a Sylvester matrix $S\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$.

The transformation of $S\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ to triangular form is carried out in the same way as above, if $f_{2} \neq 0$.
In the opposite case $f_{1}=\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{0}, f_{1}\right)$.
Let us mention once more the Euclide's algorithm.

$$
f_{i}(x)=q_{i}(x) f_{i+1}(x)+f_{i+2}(x), \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

- deg $f_{i+2}<\operatorname{deg} f_{i+1}$.

In general case there exist $T_{1}$ and $s$ such that if we denote $n_{2}=m-i_{s}, f_{2}(x)=a_{i_{s}}^{(s)} x^{n_{2}}+a_{i_{s}+1}^{(s)} x^{n_{2}-1}+\cdots+a_{m}^{(s)}$
then the matrix $S^{(s+1)}(f, g)=S(f, g) T_{1}$ has the form

The marked $\left(n+n_{2}\right) \times\left(n+n_{2}\right)$ matrix is the Sylvester matrix $S\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ for polynomials $f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)$ supposing that $a_{i_{s}}^{(s)} \neq 0$.

In this case the inequality
(4) $\operatorname{rank}\left(S\left(f_{0}, f_{1}\right)\right)=m-\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2}\right)+\operatorname{rank}\left(S\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right)$
holds.
If $f_{2}=0$ then $f_{1}$ divides $f_{0}$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(S\left(f_{0}, f_{1}\right)\right)=m$. If we transform $S\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$, afterwards $S\left(f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, ... then the repetition of (4) leads to the following result:

Theorem 2.1 Let $f$ and $g$ be the polynomials of degrees $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$ respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\operatorname{rank}(S(f, g))=m+\boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{k} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{GCD}(f, g))=\boldsymbol{k}$;
2. $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}))<\boldsymbol{m}+\boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{k} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{GCD}(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}))>\boldsymbol{k}$;

The analogous considerations with $S_{k}$ yields the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 Let $f$ and $g$ be the polynomials of degrees $\boldsymbol{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$ respectively, $1 \leq \boldsymbol{k} \leq \min (\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n})$ and let $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ be the $\boldsymbol{k}$ th Sylvester submatrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\operatorname{rank}\left(S_{k}\right)=m+n-2 k+1 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{deg}(G C D(f, g))=\boldsymbol{k}$;
2. $\operatorname{rank}\left(S_{k}\right) \leq m+n-2 k+1 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{deg}(G C D(f, g)) \geq \boldsymbol{k}$.
$\square$
3. A low rank approximation of the Sylvester matrix

A low rank approximation of the Sylvester matrix This section considers the use of the method of

## structured total least norm (STLN)

(Ben Rosen, Kaltofen, Yang, Zhi, Winkler) for the construction of a structured low rank approximation of the Sylvester matrix for approximate GCD computations.

Let an integer $k, 1 \leq k \leq \min (m, n)$ be given.
Required: Perturbations $\delta f(x)$ and $\delta g(x)$ of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta f(x) & =\delta a_{0} x^{m}+\delta a_{1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+\delta a_{m-1} x+\delta a_{m} \\
\delta g(x) & =\delta b_{0} x^{n}+\delta b_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+\delta b_{n-1} x+\delta b_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{GCD}(f+\delta f, g+\delta g)) \geq k \quad$ and $\quad\|\delta f\|_{2}^{2}+\|\delta g\|_{2}^{2}$ is minimised.

The $k$ th Sylvester submatrix has the form


$$
S_{k}=\left[c_{k}, A_{k}\right]
$$

$c_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n-k+1}$ and $A_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n-k+1) \times(m+n-2 k+1)}$.
Acording to this notation we can formulate the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1 Let $f$ and $g$ be polynomials of degrees $m$ and $n$ respectively, $\mathbf{1} \leq \boldsymbol{k} \leq \min (\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n})$ and let $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ be the $k$ th Sylvester submatrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:
a) $\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{0}, \boldsymbol{f}_{1}\right)\right)=\boldsymbol{k} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)=\boldsymbol{m}+\boldsymbol{n}-\mathbf{2 k}+\mathbf{1}$ and the dimension of the null space of $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ is equal to one.
b) $\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{GCD}\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{0}, \boldsymbol{f}_{1}\right)\right)>\boldsymbol{k} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)<\boldsymbol{m}+\boldsymbol{n}-\mathbf{2 k}+\mathbf{1}$ and the dimension of the null space of $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ is at least two.
(Kaltofen, Yang, Zhi, Wikler).

Theorem 4.1 Let $f$ and $g$ be the polynomials of degrees $m$ and $n$ respectively, $\mathbf{1} \leq \boldsymbol{k} \leq \min (\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n})$ and $S_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ the $\boldsymbol{k}$ th Sylvester submatrix. Let $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\left[c_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right]$ where $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ is the first column of the matrix $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ Then the following statements are equivalent:
a) $\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{GCD}(f, \boldsymbol{g}))=\boldsymbol{k} \Leftrightarrow$ the equation $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ possesses exactly one nontrivial solution.
b) $\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{GCD}(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}))>\boldsymbol{k} \Leftrightarrow$ the equation $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ possesses at least two linearly independent solutions.

Now we describe the STLN method The polynomials $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be inexact. For a given integer $k \in$ $[1, \min (m, n)]$ we want to compute the minimal perturbation of the coefficients of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ such that
the degree of greatest common divisors of the perturbed polynomials equals $\boldsymbol{k}$.
...to compute a perturbation matrix $\left[h_{k}, \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right]$ with the same block structure as $\left[c_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right]$ such that the equation

$$
\left(A_{k}+E_{k}\right) y=c_{k}+h_{k} \quad y=\left[y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m+n-2 k+1}\right]^{T}
$$

possesses exactly one nontrivial solution. Hence we solve the constrained minimisation problem, $\min \left\|\left[\begin{array}{ll}h_{k} & E_{k}\end{array}\right]\right\|_{F} \quad$ such that $\quad\left(A_{k}+E_{k}\right) y=c_{k}+h_{k}$.
$\ldots z_{i}$ is the perturbation of $a_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, m$,
$\ldots z_{m+i+1}$ is the perturbation of $b_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$.
The structured error matrix $\left[h_{k}, E_{k}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n-k+1) \times(m+n-2 k+2)}$

Define the $(m+n-k+1) \times(m+n+2)$ matrix $Y_{k}=$

and the matrix $P_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n-k+1) \times(m+n+2)}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{m+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
h_{k}=P_{k} z, \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{k}(y) z=E_{k}(z) y
\end{gathered}
$$

The residual vector

$$
r=r(z, y)=c_{k}+h_{k}-\left(A_{k}+E_{k}\right) y
$$

The STLN method.

We seek a vector $z=\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m+n+1}\right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n+2}$ such that the system
$\left(A_{k}+E_{k}(z)\right) y=c_{k}+h_{k}(z)$
has just one nontrivial solution and
$\|z\|_{2} \quad$ is minimal.
$\left(\|D z\|_{2}\right) \quad$ is minimal.

Let $\boldsymbol{z}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ be initial aproximations.
We express $\boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{z}+\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{y})$ as the lowest order Taylor series and we try to calculate shifts $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{y}$ such that

$$
\approx r(z, y) \underbrace{r(z+\delta z, y+\delta y) \approx 0}_{-\left(Y_{k}-P_{k}\right) \delta z-\left(A_{k}+E_{k}\right) \delta y}
$$

This leads to the iterative process for $\delta \boldsymbol{y}$ and $\delta \boldsymbol{z}$ where in
the each stage the LSE problem is solved (See Winkler):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{\delta z} \underbrace{\left\|\left[\begin{array}{ll}
D & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\delta z \\
\delta y
\end{array}\right]-(-D z)\right\|}_{\|(D(z+\delta z) \|} \text { subject to } \\
& \underbrace{\left[\left(Y_{k}-P_{k}\right)\left(A_{k}+E_{k}\right)\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\delta z \\
\delta y
\end{array}\right]=r(z, y)}_{r(z+\delta z, y+\delta y)=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$D=\operatorname{diag}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right), \quad D_{1}=(n-k+1) I_{m+1}, \quad D_{2}=(m-k+1) I_{n+1}$.

## Denoting

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\left[\left(Y_{k}-P_{k}\right)\left(A_{k}+E_{k}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n-k+1) \times(2 m+2 n-2 k+3)} \\
E & =[D 0] \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n+2) \times(2 m+2 n-2 k+3)} \\
q & =r(z, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n-k+1} \\
p & =-D z \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n+2} \\
w & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\delta z \\
\delta y
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{2 m+2 n-2 k+3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that the computation of an approximate GCD reduces to the LSE problem

$$
\min _{w}\|E w-p\|_{2} \quad \text { subject to } \quad C w=q
$$

where the dimensions of the matrices and vectors are: $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1} \times t}, E \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{2} \times t}, w \in \mathbb{R}^{t}, q \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1}}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{2}}$ where

$$
m_{1}=m+n-k+1, \quad m_{2}=m+n+2 \quad \text { and } \quad t=2 m+2 n-2 k+3 .
$$

Consider the exact polynomials

$$
\widehat{f}(x)=(x-0.25)^{8}(x-0.5)^{9}(x-0.75)^{10}(x-1)^{11}(x-1.25)^{12}
$$

and

$$
\hat{g}=(x+0.25)^{4}(x-0.25)^{5}(x-0.5)^{6}
$$

which have 11 common roots and hence $\operatorname{rank}(S(\hat{f}, g)=$ 54. The coefficients of these polynomials were perturbed by noise corresponding to the different values of $\mu$ (the signal-to-noise ratio). The given inexact polynomials $f$ and $g$ are constructed by perturbing $\widehat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ respectively.

Let $c_{f}$ and $c_{g}$ be vectors $\in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of random variables uniformly distributed in the interval $[-1, \ldots,+1]$. Let $\varepsilon=$ $1 / \mu$, the inexact polynomials

$$
f=\widehat{f} \underbrace{+\epsilon \frac{\|\widehat{f}\|}{\left\|c_{f}\right\|} c_{f}}_{\text {perturbation } \delta \widehat{f}} \quad g=\widehat{g} \underbrace{+\epsilon \frac{\|\widehat{f}\|}{\left\|c_{g}\right\|} c_{g}}_{\text {perturbation } \delta \hat{g}} .
$$

The legitimate solution fulfill the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{f}\right\| \leq \frac{\|f\|}{\mu} \quad \frac{\left\|z_{g}\right\|}{\alpha} \leq \frac{\|g\|}{\mu} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{g} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ stores the structured perturbation of the polynomial $\alpha g$. For each value $\alpha$ the values $\left\|z_{f}\right\|$,
$\left\|z_{g}\right\|$ and
$r_{\text {norm }}=r(z, y) /\left\|c_{k}+h_{k}\right\|$ are stored.

- The values of $\alpha$ for the values $\|f\|$ and $\|g\|$ that satisfy (1) and

$$
\left\|r_{\text {norm }}\right\| \leq 10^{-12}
$$

are retained.

- For each acceptable value $\alpha$ compute singular values $\sigma_{i}$ of $S(\hat{f}, \hat{g})$, where $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ are computed and normalized polynomials.
- The singular values are arranged in non-increasing order and the value $\alpha$ is found for which the ratio $\sigma_{m+n-k} / \sigma_{m+n-k+1}$ attains a maximum. The polynomials that corresponds to this value of $\alpha$ are the solution.

The following graphs are for $\mu=10^{8}$. The $y$-axis in the following plots are logarithmic.

(a) The maximum allowable value of $\left\|z_{f}\right\|$ which is equal to $\|f\| / \mu$,
(b) The computed value of $\left\|z_{f}\right\|$;

(a)The maximum allowable value of $\left\|z_{g}\right\| / \alpha$ which is equal to $\|g\| / \mu$,
(b) The computed value of $\left\|z_{g}\right\| / \alpha$;


The normalized residual norm $\left\|r_{\text {norm }}\right\|$;

the singular value ratio $\sigma_{54} / \sigma_{55}$


The normalized singular values of the Sylvester matrix for
$\diamond \ldots$ the theoretically exact data $S(\hat{f}, \hat{g})$;
$\square \ldots$ the given inexact data $S(f, g)$;
$\times \ldots$ the computed data $S\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)$;

the same for $\alpha=10^{1.4}$;

## The End

Thank you for your attention!

