



INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

**Metrizable-like locally convex topologies
on $C(X)$**

Juan Carlos Ferrando

Saak Gabrielyan

Jerzy Kąkol

Preprint No. 98-2017

PRAHA 2017

Metrizable-like locally convex topologies on $C(X)$

J.C. Ferrando

Centro de Investigación Operativa, Edificio Torretamarit, Avda de la Universidad, Universidad Miguel Hernández, E-03202 Elche (Alicante), Spain

S. Gabrielyan

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, P.O. 653, Israel

J. Kąkol

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics. A. Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

Abstract

The classic Arens theorem states that the space $C(X)$ of real-valued continuous functions on a Tychonoff space X is metrizable in the compact-open topology τ_k if and only if X is hemicompact. Less demanding but still applicable problem asks whether τ_k has an $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -decreasing base at zero $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$, called in the literature a \mathfrak{G} -base. We characterize those spaces X for which $C(X)$ admits a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} between the pointwise topology τ_p and the bounded-open topology τ_b such that $(C(X), \mathcal{T})$ is either metrizable or is an (LM) -space or even has a \mathfrak{G} -base.

Keywords: metrizable, (LM) -topology, \mathfrak{G} -base, K -analytic, Hewitt realcompactification, functionally bounded set

2010 MSC: 54C35, 46A03

1. Introduction

For a Tychonoff space X we denote by $C_p(X)$, $C_k(X)$ and $C_b(X)$ the space $C(X)$ of all real-valued continuous functions on X endowed with the pointwise topology τ_p , the compact-open topology τ_k and the bounded-open topology τ_b , respectively. By τ_w we mean the weak topology of the locally convex space $C_k(X)$.

The interplay among the topological properties of a Tychonoff space X and the locally convex or topological properties of the space $C(X)$ equipped with a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} has been widely studied, mainly for the cases when \mathcal{T} is τ_p or τ_k . For example, classical Nachbin–Shirota theorems provide necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of X , for the space $C_k(X)$ to be barrelled or bornological, see [13, Theorems 11.7.5 and 13.6.1]. The corresponding characterizations for $C_p(X)$ are due to Buchwalter and Schmets, see [3].

The question about metrizability of $(C(X), \mathcal{T})$ seems also to be attracting and important. The classic Arens theorem states that $C_k(X)$ is a metrizable (metrizable and complete) locally convex space if and

^{*}The first and third named authors are partially supported by Grant PROMETEO/2013/058 of the Conserjería de Educación, Cultura y Deportes of Generalidad Valenciana.

^{**}The third author is also supported by GACR Project 16-34860L and RVO: 67985840.

Email addresses: jc.ferrando@umh.es (J.C. Ferrando), saak@math.bgu.ac.il (S. Gabrielyan), kakol@amu.edu.pl (J. Kąkol)

only if X is hemicompact (and a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space), and $C_k(X)$ is a Banach space if and only if X is compact by [1, Theorem 13]. It is also well-known that $C_p(X)$ is metrizable if and only if X is countable. This shows that metrizability for the mentioned topologies on $C(X)$ implies strong conditions on X . The Fréchet–Urysohn property for $C(K)$, a weaker condition than metrizability, have provided another interesting line of research. Pytkeev, Gerlitz and Nagy (see §3 of [2]) characterized those spaces X for which $C_p(X)$ is Fréchet–Urysohn, sequential or a k -space (these properties coincide for the spaces $C_p(X)$).

It is clear that one of the simplest conditions on X which guarantees the metrizability of compact subsets of X is *submetrizability*, i. e., X admits a weaker metrizable topology. It is well known (see [16]) that the space $C_p(X)$ is submetrizable if and only if X is separable, and $C_k(X)$ is submetrizable if and only if X is almost σ -compact. However, in order to show that all compact subsets of $C_k(X)$ or $C_b(X)$ are metrizable it is sufficient to find a metrizable (eventually locally convex) topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ either between τ_p and τ_k or between τ_p and τ_b , respectively. [These facts and observations motivate the main result of our paper presented in Theorem 3.1.](#)

The concept of a locally convex space E with a so-called \mathfrak{G} -base (of neighborhoods of the origin), which is more general than metrizability (but still yielding angelicity of E), has been successfully adopted to study spaces $C(X)$; we know that $C_p(X)$ has a \mathfrak{G} -base if and only if X is countable (cf. [14, Corollary 15.2]) and that $C_k(X)$ has a \mathfrak{G} -base if and only if X has a compact resolution swallowing the compact sets (cf. [8, Theorem 2]); the latter one is a nice generalization of mentioned Arens theorem. Recall that a locally convex space E is said to have a \mathfrak{G} -base if it admits a base of neighborhoods at zero $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ such that $U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta$ whenever $\beta \leq \alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The class of locally convex spaces with a \mathfrak{G} -base is rich, contains among others, the class of all (LM) -spaces, particularly all metrizable locally convex spaces. We refer the reader to the monograph [14] for more details. [These results motivate our general result, Theorem 2.2, which particularly provides a characterization of spaces \$X\$ for which \$C\(X\)\$ endowed with some set-open topology \$\tau_{\mathcal{S}}\$ admits a finer locally convex topology \$\mathcal{T}\$ which is metrizable or has a \$\mathfrak{G}\$ -base, see Corollary 2.3.](#)

2. General results

We start with the following general observation (connected also to the previous facts for concrete cases), which seems to be known but hard to locate.

Proposition 2.1. *A locally convex space E with dual E' admits a metrizable and separable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} weaker than $\sigma(E, E')$ if and only if $\sigma(E', E)$ is separable.*

PROOF. For the ‘only if’ part set $F := (E, \mathcal{T})'$. Then $(F, \sigma(F, E))$ is a σ -compact space with a coarser metrizable topology, the latter because $(E, \sigma(E, F))$ is separable. Now observe that the adjoint of the identity map from $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$ onto $(E, \sigma(E, F))$ has $\sigma(E', E)$ -dense rank. \square

In what follows we need some notations. Let X be a topological space (all topological spaces in the article are assumed to be Hausdorff). Denote by $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ the family of all collections \mathcal{S} of functionally bounded subsets of X which are directed (that is for every $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ there is $S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq S_3$) and $\cup \mathcal{S} = X$. For every $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$, the sets of the form

$$[S, \varepsilon] := \{f \in C(X) : |f(x)| < \varepsilon \forall x \in S\}, \quad \text{where } S \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0,$$

define a base at the zero function 0 of a Hausdorff locally convex topology $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ on $C(X)$, put $C_{\mathcal{S}}(X) := (C(X), \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$. If \mathcal{S} is the family $\text{Fin}(X)$ of all finite subsets of X or the family $\text{Com}(X)$ of all compact subsets of X , we obtain the pointwise topology τ_p and the compact-open topology τ_k on $C(X)$, respectively. For other interesting set-open topologies \mathcal{T} see [19], where some distinguishing examples are also provided. If E is a

vector subspace of $C(X)$, a subset A of X is called *E-functionally bounded in X* if every $f \in E$ is bounded on A . $C(X)$ -functionally bounded subsets of X are called *functionally bounded in X* . Denote by $\text{FB}(X)$ the family of all functionally bounded subsets in X and let $\tau_b := \tau_{\text{FB}(X)}$. We set $C_p(X) := (C(X), \tau_p)$, $C_k(X) := (C(X), \tau_k)$ and $C_b(X) := (C(X), \tau_b)$. It is clear that $\tau_p \leq \tau_S \leq \tau_b$ for every $S \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$, in particular $\tau_p \leq \tau_k \leq \tau_b$.

Let Ω be a set and let I be a partially ordered set with an order \leq . A family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of subsets of Ω is called *I-increasing (I-decreasing)* if $A_i \subseteq A_j$ (respectively, $A_i \supseteq A_j$) for every $i \leq j$ in I . For two families \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} of subsets of Ω we say that \mathcal{C} *swallows* \mathcal{B} if for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there is $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $B \subseteq C$. If X is a topological space, a family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is said to be a *local I-base at a point $x \in X$* if \mathcal{U} is an *I-decreasing base at x* .

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a Tychonoff space, $S \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$, E a vector subspace of $C(X)$ and I a partially ordered set.*

- (i) *Assume that E has a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} with a local I -base $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ at zero stronger than the relative topology $\tau_S|_E$. Then there exists an I -increasing family of E -functionally bounded subsets in X swallowing S .*
- (ii) *Assume that I is ordered isomorphic to $\mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{A}$ for some partially ordered set \mathcal{A} and there exists an I -increasing family $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ of E -functionally bounded subsets in X swallowing S . Then E has a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} with a local I -base at zero stronger than the relative topology $\tau_S|_E$.*

PROOF. (i) For each $i \in I$ define

$$B_i := \{x \in X : |f(x)| < 1 \forall f \in U_i\}.$$

Let us show that B_i is E -functionally bounded in X . Indeed, if $g \in E$, take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g \in kU_i$. Then $\sup\{|g(x)| : x \in B_i\} \leq k$, and hence B_i is E -functionally bounded. Clearly, the family $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ is I -increasing. To show that $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ swallows S take arbitrarily $S \in \mathcal{S}$. By assumption $\tau_S|_E \leq \mathcal{T}$. So there is $i \in I$ such that $U_i \subseteq [S, 1] \cap E$, which means that $S \subseteq B_i$.

(ii) We shall identify I with $\mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{A}$. For each $i = (n, \alpha) \in I$, set

$$U_i := \{f \in E : |f(x)| < n^{-1} \forall x \in B_i\}.$$

Clearly, $U_i \subseteq U_j$ for every $i \geq j$ in I , and $2U_{i'} \subseteq U_i$ for $i' = (2n, \alpha)$. Moreover, U_i is E -absorbing. Indeed, if $g \in E$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|g(x)| < k$ for every $x \in B_i$, so $g \in nkU_i$. So the family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is I -decreasing and defines a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on E . To show that $\tau_S|_E \leq \mathcal{T}$ fix arbitrarily $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $t = (m, \beta) \in I$ such that $S \subseteq B_t$ and $m^{-1} < \varepsilon$. Then clearly $U_t \subseteq [S, \varepsilon] \cap E$. Thus $\tau_S|_E \leq \mathcal{T}$. \square

Part (ii) of Theorem 2.2 suggests (ii) of the corollary below. If $I = \mathbb{N}$ or $I = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, then $I = \mathbb{N} \times \{e\}$ (where $\{e\}$ is a singleton with the trivial order) or $I = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, respectively. So Theorem 2.2 applies to get the following

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a Tychonoff space, $S \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ and E a vector subspace of $C(X)$. Then:*

- (i) *E admits a metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} stronger than the induced topology $\tau_S|_E$ if and only if there is an increasing sequence $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of E -functionally bounded subsets of X swallowing S .*
- (ii) *E admits a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} with a \mathfrak{S} -base stronger than the induced topology $\tau_S|_E$ if and only if there is an $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -increasing family $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ of E -functionally bounded subsets of X swallowing S .*

Let (E, τ) be a locally convex space covered by an increasing sequence $\mathcal{E} := \{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of vector subspaces of E . We say that E admits an (LM) -topology on E associated with the sequence \mathcal{E} if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a metrizable topology τ_n on E_n such that $\tau|_{E_n} \leq \tau_n$ and $\tau_{n+1}|_{E_n} \leq \tau_n$. The finest locally convex topology ξ on E such that $\xi|_{E_n} \leq \tau_n$ (which clearly exists and is stronger than τ) is called the (LM) -topology on E and the space (E, ξ) is an (LM) -space associated with the sequence \mathcal{E} . In [15] it is proved that $C_p(X)$ is an (LM) -space if and only if X is countable. Below we consider an analogous question for $C_k(X)$.

If $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ is a family of subsets of a space X , we set

$$A(\alpha|n) = \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta \in \Sigma, \beta(i) = \alpha(i), 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $A(\alpha|n) = A(\beta|n)$ whenever $\alpha(i) = \beta(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have that $\mathcal{M} = \{A(\alpha|n) : \alpha \in \Sigma, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable family of subsets of X . Following [21, Definition 2.3] the family \mathcal{M} is called the *envelope* of \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of vector subspaces of $C(X)$ covering $C(X)$. We say that the envelope of a family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ of subsets of X with $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is \mathcal{E} -bounded if $A(\alpha|n)$ is E_n -functionally bounded for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following theorem characterizes spaces $C_S(X)$ which admit stronger (LM) -topologies.

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a Tychonoff space, $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ and let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of vector subspaces of $C(X)$ covering $C(X)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $C(X)$ admits an (LM) -topology \mathcal{T} associated with the sequence \mathcal{E} finer than $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$;
- (ii) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an increasing sequence $\{B_{i,n}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of E_n -functionally bounded subsets of X swallowing \mathcal{S} ;
- (iii) X has a resolution swallowing \mathcal{S} with \mathcal{E} -bounded envelope.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Corollary 2.3. Let us prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i). We proceed by induction. For $n = 1$ and every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, set $C_{i,1} := B_{i,1}$. So, by Corollary 2.3, $\{C_{i,1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines a metrizable topology τ_1 on E_1 . Assume that for every $n = k > 1$ we find an increasing sequence $\{C_{i,k}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of E_k -functionally bounded subsets of X swallowing \mathcal{S} which defines a metrizable locally convex topology τ_k on E_k such that $\tau_k|_{E_{k-1}} \leq \tau_{k-1}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}|_{E_k} \leq \tau_k$. Let $\{C'_{i,k+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of the countable family

$$\{B_{i,k+1} \cap C_{m,k} : i, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, set $C_{i,k+1} := \cup_{j \leq i} C'_{j,k+1}$. Clearly, $\{C_{i,k+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of E_{k+1} -functionally bounded subsets of X . Since the sequences $\{B_{i,k+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{C_{i,k}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ swallow \mathcal{S} , then also $\{C_{i,k+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ swallows \mathcal{S} . So, by Corollary 2.3, $\{C_{i,k+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines a metrizable locally convex topology τ_{k+1} on E_{k+1} stronger than $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}|_{E_{k+1}}$. Since every $C_{i,k+1}$ is contained in $C_{m,k}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain that $\tau_{k+1}|_{E_k} \leq \tau_k$. Finally, the finest locally convex topology ξ on E such that $\xi|_{E_n} \leq \tau_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is an (LM) -topology on E associated with the sequence \mathcal{E} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ define

$$A_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\alpha(n),n}$$

and note that $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$ due to the fact that the sequences $\{B_{i,n} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are increasing. If $S \in \mathcal{S}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\gamma(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S \subseteq B_{\gamma(k),k}$. Consequently, $S \subseteq A_\gamma$. On the other hand, observe that

$$A(\alpha|n) = B_{\alpha(1),1} \cap \cdots \cap B_{\alpha(n),n}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $A(\alpha|n) \subseteq B_{\alpha(n),n}$, it turns out that $A(\alpha|n)$ is functionally E_n -bounded.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be a resolution in X swallowing \mathcal{S} with \mathcal{E} -bounded envelope. So, if we fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $A(\alpha|n)$ is E_n -bounded for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Since $A(\alpha|n)$ is completely determined by the n -tuple $(\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(n))$ and \mathbb{N}^n is isomorphic to \mathbb{N} , in case that $(\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(n)) \mapsto j$ we may define $C_{j,n} := A(\alpha|n)$. If $B_{i,n} := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq i} C_{j,n}$, then the sequence $\{B_{i,n} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is increasing, E_n -functionally bounded and satisfies that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{i,n} = X$. In addition, if $S \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $S \subseteq A_\gamma \subseteq A(\gamma|n) = C_{k,n} \subseteq B_{k,n}$, where $(\gamma(1), \dots, \gamma(n)) \mapsto k$. Thus the sequences $\{B_{i,n} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the required conditions. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let X be a Tychonoff space and let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of vector subspaces of $C(X)$ covering $C(X)$. The compact open-topology τ_k is the (LM) -topology associated with the sequence \mathcal{E} only if X is K -analytic and has a compact resolution $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ with \mathcal{E} -bounded envelope that swallows the compact sets.*

PROOF. By Proposition 2.5, if τ_k is the (LM) -topology on $C(X)$ associated with the sequence \mathcal{E} , then X has a resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ with \mathcal{E} -bounded envelope that swallows the compact sets. Since $C_k(X)$ is bornological, X is realcompact by virtue of the Nachbin–Shirota theorem and hence $\tau_b = \tau_k$. Thus, setting $K_\alpha = \overline{A_\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the family $\mathcal{K} := \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ satisfies the required conditions. Indeed, if

$$K(\alpha|n) = \bigcup \left\{ K_\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \beta(i) = \alpha(i), 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$$

choose $f \in E_n$. Since $\{A_\gamma : \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ has \mathcal{E} -bounded envelope, let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\sup_{x \in A(\alpha|n)} |f(x)| < q.$$

In case that there exists $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subseteq K(\alpha|n)$ with $|f(x_m)| \geq m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\{\beta_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ with $\beta_m(i) = \alpha(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_m \in K_{\beta_m}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Selecting $y_m \in A_{\beta_m}$ such that $|f(x_m) - f(y_m)| < 1$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ one has that $|f(x_m)| < 1 + q$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ due to the fact that $y_m \in A_{\beta_m} \subseteq A(\alpha|n)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Particularly $|f(x_{q+1})| < q + 1$, a contradiction. Therefore \mathcal{K} has an \mathcal{E} -bounded envelope, and clearly \mathcal{K} swallows the compact sets of X . By Proposition 3.13 of [14], X is K -analytic. \square

Below we apply Corollary 2.6 to prove the following classical result.

Corollary 2.7. *The space $C_k(X)$ is metrizable if and only if X is hemicompact.*

PROOF. If X is hemicompact then clearly $C_k(X)$ is metrizable. Conversely, assume that $C_k(X)$ is metrizable. Set $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $E_n = C(X)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Corollary 2.6, X is K -analytic and has a compact resolution $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ with \mathcal{E} -bounded envelope that swallows the compact sets. By the definition of \mathcal{E} , the envelope $\mathcal{M} = \{K(\alpha|n) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consists of functionally bounded subsets of X . As X is realcompact, the countable family

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \{\overline{K(\alpha|n)} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

consists of compact subsets of X and clearly swallows the compact sets of X . Thus X is hemicompact. \square

It is natural to ask whether the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ is metrizable. We answer this question in the next proposition which complements Corollary 2.7, its proof is similar to the original proof of the Arens theorem and so it is omitted.

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be a Tychonoff space and $\mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$. Then the space $C_{\mathcal{S}}(X)$ is metrizable if and only if X is almost hemi- \mathcal{S} -compact, i.e., there is a sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S \subseteq \overline{S_n}$.*

Example 2.9. If X is an uncountable P -space, there is no metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$. Indeed, otherwise there exists a sequence $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of functionally bounded sets in X covering X . But this is impossible, since each functionally bounded set in X is finite (see [11, Problem 4K(3)]).

3. Concrete results

Let X be a Tychonoff space. Denote by νX the Hewitt realcompactification of X . It is clear that a subset B of X is functionally bounded if and only if B is relatively compact in νX . Recall that X is called a μ -space if the closure of every functionally bounded subset of X is compact. Every realcompact space is Dieudonné complete, see [6, 8.5.13], and each Dieudonné complete space is a μ -space.

Let us also recall that an $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -increasing family $\{B_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ of functionally bounded (compact) subsets of X is called a *functionally bounded* (respectively, *compact*) *resolution* in X if it covers X .

The following theorem deals with the case when a desired topology satisfies inequalities $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$ or $\tau_k \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$, in (vii) we supplement also the list of another results on $C_p(X)$ yielding countability of X , see [21], [22].

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a Tychonoff space. Then:*

- (i) *There exists a metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$ if and only if X is a σ -compact space.*
- (ii) *There exists a metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_k \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$ if and only if there is an increasing sequence $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functionally bounded subsets of X swallowing the compact sets of X .*
- (iii) *There exists a metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$ if and only if there is an increasing sequence $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functionally bounded subsets of X covering X .*
- (iv) *There is a metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_w$ if and only if X is countable.*
- (v) *There exists a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ with a \mathfrak{S} -base such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$ if and only if X has a compact resolution.*
- (vi) *There exists a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ with a \mathfrak{S} -base such that $\tau_k \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$ if and only if X has a functionally bounded resolution swallowing the compact sets of X .*
- (vii) *$C(X)$ admits a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} with a \mathfrak{S} -base such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$ if and only if X has a functionally bounded resolution.*
- (viii) *There is a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ with a \mathfrak{S} -base such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_w$ if and only if X is countable.*

PROOF. To prove (i) and (v), for every set U in $C(X)$ define U^{\diamond} in X by

$$U^{\diamond} = \{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq 1 \forall f \in U\}.$$

Clearly, U^{\diamond} is closed in X and $U \subseteq V$ implies that $U^{\diamond} \supseteq V^{\diamond}$.

Claim. Let \mathcal{T} be a locally convex topology on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$. If U is a neighborhood of the origin in $(C(X), \mathcal{T})$, then U^{\diamond} is compact.

Indeed, as in the proof of [8, Theorem 2], if K is compact and $\epsilon > 0$ then $[K, \epsilon]^\diamond \subseteq K$, since if $x \in X \setminus K$ there is $f \in C(X)$ with $f(x) = 2$ and $f(K) = \{0\}$, so that $f \in [K, \epsilon]$ and $x \notin [K, \epsilon]^\diamond$. If K is compact and $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, then $K \subseteq [K, \epsilon]^\diamond$ and hence $[K, \epsilon]^\diamond = K$.

Now since $\mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$ we may choose a compact set K in X such that $[K, \epsilon] \subseteq U$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Hence $U^\diamond \subseteq [K, \epsilon]^\diamond \subseteq K$, so U^\diamond is compact.

(i) If $X = \bigcup \{K_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is σ -compact with $K_n \subseteq K_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$V_n := \left\{ f \in C(X) : \sup_{x \in K_n} |f(x)| < \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

Then $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an open decreasing base of absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin of a metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$.

Conversely, assume that $C(X)$ has a metrizable locally convex topology $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$ with a decreasing base $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of neighborhoods of the origin. Then, by the claim, the family $\mathcal{K} = \{U_n^\diamond : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consists of compact subsets of X . Moreover, if $y \in X$ since $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_m \subseteq \{f \in C(X) : |f(y)| \leq 1\}$, which means that $U_m \subseteq [\{y\}, 1]$, so that $y \in U_m^\diamond$. Thus \mathcal{K} is a covering of X and we are done.

(v) If X has a compact resolution $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}\}$ set

$$V_\alpha := \left\{ f \in C(X) : \sup_{x \in K_\alpha} |f(x)| < \frac{1}{\alpha(1)} \right\}.$$

Then the family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}\}$ is an open \mathfrak{G} -base of a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ consisting of absolutely convex sets such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$.

Conversely, assume that $C(X)$ has a locally convex topology $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_k$ with a \mathfrak{G} -base $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}\}$. Then, by the claim, the family $\mathcal{K} = \{U_\alpha^\diamond : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}\}$ consists of compact subsets of X . If $y \in X$ since $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T}$, there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ such that $U_\beta \subseteq \{f \in C(X) : |f(y)| \leq 1\}$. This means that $U_\beta \subseteq [\{y\}, 1]$, so that $\{y\} = [\{y\}, 1]^\diamond \subseteq U_\beta^\diamond$. Thus $y \in U_\beta^\diamond$, which shows that \mathcal{K} is a compact resolution of X .

(ii) and (vi) follow from Corollary 2.3.

(iii) It is known (see [2, Proposition III.2.21]), that X has a sequence of functionally bounded sets covering X if and only if vX is σ -compact. Now the assertion follows from (i) of Corollary 2.3.

(iv) follows from (viii).

(vii) First we note that: (1) by Proposition 3.13 of [14], vX is K -analytic if and only if vX has a compact resolution, and (2) $\{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}}$ is a compact resolution in vX if and only if $\{X \cap K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}}$ is a functionally bounded resolution in X . So, if X has a functionally bounded resolution, the space $C(X)$ admits a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} with a \mathfrak{G} -base such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$ by (ii) of Corollary 2.3 applied to $\mathcal{S} = \text{Fin}$.

Assume that $C(X)$ admits a locally convex topology \mathcal{T} with a \mathfrak{G} -base $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$. Denote by E the topological dual space of $(C(X), \mathcal{T})$. Then the family $\{V_\alpha^\circ\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}}$, the polars being taken with respect to E , covers E and is a resolution of E consisting of absolutely convex $\sigma(E, C(X))$ -compact sets. Denote by $L(X)$ the free vector space over X . Then the space $L_p(X) := (L(X), \sigma(L(X), C(X)))$ is a vector subspace of $(E, \sigma(E, C(X)))$. Since every functionally bounded subset of a locally convex space is bounded, we obtain that $\{L(X) \cap V_\alpha^\circ\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded resolution in $L_p(X)$. Thus vX is K -analytic by Lemma 30 of [7].

(viii) The ‘if’ case is trivial. For the ‘only if’ case, suppose that such \mathcal{T} exists and proceed by contradiction by assuming that X is uncountable. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}\}$ be a \mathfrak{G} -base of neighborhoods of the origin of \mathcal{T} . Clearly, each $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ is a neighborhood of the origin for the weak topology τ_w . So the family $\mathcal{M} = \{U_\alpha^\circ : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}\}$ (polars in $C_k(X)'$) is an $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ -increasing family of subsets of $F := C_k(X)'$ consisting of τ_w -equicontinuous sets. But since \mathcal{T} is stronger than τ_p , \mathcal{M} covers the linear subspace $L(X)$ of F . Note

that each U_α° is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of F . Consequently, we have an $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ -increasing family \mathcal{M} of subsets of F , covering $L(X)$, consisting of finite-dimensional sets. This implies that each of those sets U_α° meets the canonical copy $\delta(X)$ of X in $(F, \sigma(F, C(X)))$ in a finite set $U_\alpha^\circ \cap \delta(X)$ (otherwise U_α° , would be infinite-dimensional due to the fact that $\delta(X)$ is a linearly independent set in F). Hence \mathcal{M} meets $\delta(X)$ in a resolution consisting of finite sets. But since X is uncountable, some of these sets must be infinite by Proposition 3.7 of [14]. This contradiction shows that X is countable. \square

There are plenty of nonmetrizable locally convex topologies with a \mathfrak{G} -base on $C(X)$ as the following example shows.

Example 3.2. Nonmetrizable locally convex topologies on $C(X)$ with a \mathfrak{G} -base. If X has a compact resolution but is not σ -compact, then there exists a non-metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ with a \mathfrak{G} -base such that $\tau_p \leq \mathcal{T} \leq \tau_b$. For instance, if K is an infinite Talagrand compact set, $X := C_p(K)$ is K -analytic but not σ -compact by virtue of Velichko's theorem. So there exists a locally convex topology on $C(X)$ with those characteristics.

Example 3.3. Let κ be the first ordinal of cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. Then κ is a pseudocompact non-compact space whose cofinality is strictly bigger than the continuum \mathfrak{c} . As the cofinality of $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ is less or equal than \mathfrak{c} we obtain that κ does not have compact resolution, in particular, $C_k(\kappa)$ does not have a \mathfrak{G} -base. Clearly, the metrizable topology defined by the sup-norm of $C(\kappa)$ is strictly finer than the compact-open topology.

Example 3.4. Let Z be the subspace of $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$ consisting of transfinite sequences with at most countably many non-zero coordinates and define $X := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} nZ \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\omega_1}$. If τ_{sc} denotes the set-open topology on $C(X)$ defined by the sequentially compact subsets of X , there exists a metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p < \mathcal{T} < \tau_{sc}$ and neither $\mathcal{T} < \tau_k$ nor $\tau_k < \mathcal{T}$. Indeed, setting $A_n := \bigcup_{k \leq n} kZ$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consists of sequentially compact sets and covers X , so it defines a metrizable locally convex topology \mathcal{T} on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p < \mathcal{T} < \tau_{sc}$. In addition, since each A_n is closed and noncompact, it turns out that $\mathcal{T} \not< \tau_k$. On the other hand, the set $K = \{ne_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$, where $e_n(\gamma) = 0$ if $\gamma \neq n$ and $e_n(n) = 1$, $\gamma < \omega_1$, is evidently compact in \mathbb{R}^{ω_1} and is not contained in any A_n . Therefore $\tau_k \not< \mathcal{T}$.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the referee for careful reading and useful remarks.

References

- [1] R.F. Arens, A topology for spaces of transformations, *Ann. of Math.* **47** (1946), 480–495.
- [2] A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Topological function spaces*, *Math. Appl.* **78**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [3] H. Buchwalter, J. Schmets, Sur quelques propriétés de l'espace $C_s(T)$, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **52** (1973), 337–352.
- [4] B. Cascales, On K -analytic locally convex spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **49** (1987), 232–244.
- [5] B. Cascales, J. Orihuela, On compactness in locally convex spaces, *Math. Z.* **195** (1987), 365–381.
- [6] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [7] J.C. Ferrando, Some characterizations for vX to be Lindelöf Σ or K -analytic in terms of $C_p(X)$, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2009), 823–830.

- [8] J.C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, On precompact sets in spaces $C_c(X)$, Georgian. Math. J., **20** (2013), 247–254.
- [9] K. Floret, *Weakly Compact Sets*, Lecture Notes in Math., 801, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [10] S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, A. Leiderman, On topological groups with a small base and metrizable, Fund. Math. **229** (2015), 129–158.
- [11] L. Gillman, M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- [12] W. Govaerts, A productive class of angelic spaces, J. London Math. Soc. **22** (1980), 355–364.
- [13] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B.G. Teubner Stuttgart, 1981.
- [14] J. Kąkol, W. Kubiś, M. Lopez-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*, Developments in Mathematics, Springer, 2011.
- [15] J. Kąkol, I. Tweddle, Spaces of continuous functions $C_p(X, E)$ as (LM) -spaces, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. (special volume in honor of N. De Grande-De Kimpe) (2002), 109–117.
- [16] R.A. McCoy, I. Ntantu, *Topological Properties of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1315**, 1988.
- [17] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Second Edition, CRC Press, New York, 2011.
- [18] J. Orihuela, Pointwise compactness in spaces of continuous functions, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **36** (1987), 143–152.
- [19] A.V. Osipov, The C -compact-open topology on function spaces, Topol. Appl. **159** (2012), 3059–3066.
- [20] M. Talagrand, Espaces de Banach faiblement K -analytiques, Annals of Math. **110** (1979), 407–438.
- [21] V.V. Tkachuk, A space $C_p(X)$ is dominated by irrationals if and only if it is K -analytic, Acta Math. Hung., **107** (2005), 253–265.
- [22] B. Cascales, J. Orihuela, V.V. Tkachuk, Domination by second countable spaces and Lindelöf Σ -property, Topology Appl. **158** (2011), 204–214.