On perfect cliques with respect to infinitely many relations (joint work with Martin Doležal)

Wiesław Kubiś

Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences http://www.math.cas.cz/kubis/

> Analysis Seminar Innsbruck 23 – 25 October 2015

Motivation

W.Kubiś (http://www.math.cas.cz/kubis/)

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Motivation

Theorem (folklore)

Every uncountable analytic set contains a perfect set.

Motivation

Theorem (folklore)

Every uncountable analytic set contains a perfect set.

Definition

A set *S* is **perfect** if it is nonempty, dense-in-itself and completely metrizable.

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Motivation (continued)

Theorem (Feng 1993)

Let X be an analytic space and let $C \subseteq [X]^2$ be open. Then either

• $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$, where $[X_n]^2 \cap C = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$, or else

• there exists a perfect set *P* such that $[P]^2 \subseteq C$.

Motivation (continued)

Theorem (Feng 1993)

Let X be an analytic space and let $C \subseteq [X]^2$ be open. Then either

- $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$, where $[X_n]^2 \cap C = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$, or else
- there exists a perfect set *P* such that $[P]^2 \subseteq C$.

Example:

 $C = \{\{x, y\} \in X \colon x \neq y\}.$

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Motivation (continued)

Theorem (Feng 1993)

Let X be an analytic space and let $C \subseteq [X]^2$ be open. Then either

- $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$, where $[X_n]^2 \cap C = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$, or else
- there exists a perfect set *P* such that $[P]^2 \subseteq C$.

Example:

$$C = \{\{x, y\} \in X \colon x \neq y\}.$$

Remark (Blass)

The theorem above fails when 2 is replaced by 3.

Definition

Let \mathscr{R} be a family of relations on a set X. We say that $S \subseteq X$ is an \mathscr{R} -clique if for every $n \in \omega$, for every distinct $s_0, \ldots, s_{n-1} \in S$ the relation

$$R(s_0,\ldots,s_{n-1})$$

holds whenever $R \in \mathscr{R}$ and $n = \operatorname{arity}(R)$.

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Definition

Let \mathscr{R} be a family of relations on a set X. We say that $S \subseteq X$ is an \mathscr{R} -clique if for every $n \in \omega$, for every distinct $s_0, \ldots, s_{n-1} \in S$ the relation

$$R(s_0,\ldots,s_{n-1})$$

holds whenever $R \in \mathscr{R}$ and $n = \operatorname{arity}(R)$.

Theorem (Mycielski 1964)

Let X be a dense-in-itself Polish space and let \mathscr{R} be a countable family of co-meager relations on X. Then there exists a perfect \mathscr{R} -clique.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Theorem (Shelah 1999)

The following statement is consistent with ZFC:

 For every analytic relation R on a Polish space X, either all R-cliques have cardinalities ≤ ℵ₁ or else there exists a perfect R-clique.

Theorem (Shelah 1999; Vejnar & K. 2012)

There exists a σ -compact symmetric binary relation E on the Cantor space 2^{ω} such that

- there exists an E-clique of cardinality \aleph_1 ,
- 2 there are no E-cliques of cardinality $> \aleph_1$, and
- there is no perfect E-clique.

Theorem (Shelah 1999; Vejnar & K. 2012)

There exists a σ -compact symmetric binary relation E on the Cantor space 2^{ω} such that

- there exists an E-clique of cardinality ℵ₁,
- 2 there are no E-cliques of cardinality $> \aleph_1$, and
- there is no perfect E-clique.

$$E = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in 2^{\omega} \times 2^{\omega} : (\exists n \in \omega) \text{ either } x = f_n(y) \text{ or } y = f_n(x) \}$$

where $\{f_n: 2^{\omega} \to 2^{\omega}\}_{n \in \omega}$ is a suitable family of continuous functions.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Theorem

Let X be a complete metric space of weight $\kappa \ge \aleph_0$ and let \mathscr{R} be a countable family of G_{δ} relations on X. Then either

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Theorem

Let X be a complete metric space of weight $\kappa \ge \aleph_0$ and let \mathscr{R} be a countable family of G_{δ} relations on X. Then either

(C) there exists an ordinal $\gamma < \kappa^+$ such that the Cantor-Bendixson rank of every \mathscr{R} -clique is $\leq \gamma$

Theorem

Let X be a complete metric space of weight $\kappa \ge \aleph_0$ and let \mathscr{R} be a countable family of G_{δ} relations on X. Then either

(C) there exists an ordinal $\gamma < \kappa^+$ such that the Cantor-Bendixson rank of every \mathscr{R} -clique is $\leqslant \gamma$

or else

(P) there exists a perfect \mathcal{R} -clique.

Theorem

Let X be a complete metric space of weight $\kappa \ge \aleph_0$ and let \mathscr{R} be a countable family of G_{δ} relations on X. Then either

(C) there exists an ordinal $\gamma < \kappa^+$ such that the Cantor-Bendixson rank of every \mathscr{R} -clique is $\leqslant \gamma$

or else

(P) there exists a perfect \mathscr{R} -clique.

Remark

The case $\mathscr{R} = \{R\}, \kappa = \aleph_0$ was proved in

 W. Kubiś, *Perfect cliques and G_δ colorings of Polish spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), 619–623.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Corollary

Let X be a complete metric space and let \mathscr{R} be a countable family of G_{δ} relations on X. If there exists a nonempty dense-in-itself \mathscr{R} -clique then there exists also a perfect one.

4 3 > 4 3

A .

Corollary

Let X be a complete metric space and let \mathscr{R} be a countable family of G_{δ} relations on X. If there exists a nonempty dense-in-itself \mathscr{R} -clique then there exists also a perfect one.

Corollary

Let X be an analytic space and let \mathscr{R} be a countable family of G_{δ} relations on X. If there exists an uncountable \mathscr{R} -clique, then there exists also a perfect one.

★ ∃ > < ∃ >

Free subgroups of Polish groups

Free subgroups of Polish groups

Theorem (Głąb & Strobin 2014)

Let $G = \prod_{n \in \omega} G_n$, where each G_n is a countable group. If G contains an uncountable free subgroup then it also contains a free subgroup of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} .

Free subgroups of Polish groups

Theorem (Głąb & Strobin 2014)

Let $G = \prod_{n \in \omega} G_n$, where each G_n is a countable group. If G contains an uncountable free subgroup then it also contains a free subgroup of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} .

Theorem

Let G be a Polish group. Then either all free subgroups of G are countable or else G contains a perfect set of free generators.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Definition

Let $w(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ be a word, that is, an irreducible term in the language of groups. Given a group *G* and $g_0, \ldots, g_{n-1} \in G$, define $R_w(g_0, \ldots, g_{n-1})$ if and only if

 $w(g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}) \neq 1.$

3

Definition

Let $w(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ be a word, that is, an irreducible term in the language of groups. Given a group *G* and $g_0, \ldots, g_{n-1} \in G$, define $R_w(g_0, \ldots, g_{n-1})$ if and only if

$$w(g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1})\neq 1.$$

Claim

Let G be a topological group. Then for every word w, the relation R_w is open on G.

Corollary

Let G be a Polish group. Then either all free subgroups of G are countable or else G contains a perfect set generating a free subgroup.

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Corollary

Let G be a Polish group. Then either all free subgroups of G are countable or else G contains a perfect set generating a free subgroup.

Corollary

Let G be a completely metrizable topological group containing a nonempty dense-in-itself set of free generators. Then G contains a perfect set generating a free subgroup.

A B F A B F

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Thank you for your attention!



M. Doležal, W. Kubiś, *Perfect independent sets with respect to infinitely many relations*, preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.05127

A .